UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,322	09/12/2006	Birgit Baumgarten	4-33201A	4964
	7590 04/16/201 STITUTES FOR BIO	0 MEDICAL RESEARCH, INC.	EXAMINER	
220 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE			LI, RUIXIANG	
CAMBRIDGE,	CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/560,322	BAUMGARTEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	RUIXIANG LI	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under M	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 5-7 and 10-18 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5, 6, 10-15 is/are wit 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	hdrawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and a composition and a composition to the separate and a composition and a compositio	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application, Amendments, and/or Claims

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in

37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is

eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR

1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been

withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/17/2010 has

been entered. Claims 5-7 and 10-18 are pending. Claims 7 and 16-18 are under

consideration. All other claims are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Withdrawn Objections and/or Rejections

The rejection of claims 7 and 16-18 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for

referring to the commercial database is withdrawn in view of amended claims.

The rejection of claims 7, 17, and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Yang et al. (US Patent No. 6,919,176 B2, Jul. 19, 2005; 102(e) date: May 7, 2001) is

withdrawn in view of amended claims.

Art Unit: 1646

The rejection of claims 7, 17, and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Logan et al. (US 2003/0109044 A1, June 12, 2003; 102(e) date: Oct. 16, 2001) is withdrawn in view of amended claims.

Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

(i). The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

(ii). Claims 7 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method for screening for a candidate compound that antagonizes or agonizes a GPR4 related polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 3, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method for screening for a candidate compound that antagonizes or agonizes a GPR4 related polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence that is at least 95% identical the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 3. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The factors that are considered when determining whether a disclosure satisfies enablement requirement include: (i) the quantity of experimentation necessary; (ii) the amount of direction or guidance presented; (iii) the existence of working examples; (iv) the nature of the invention; (v) the state of the prior art; (vi) the relative skill of those in

Art Unit: 1646

the art; (vii) the predictability or unpredictability of the art; and (viii) the breadth of the claims. *Ex Parte Forman*, 230 USPQ 546 (Bd Pat. App. & Int. 1986); *In re Wands*, 858 F. 2d 731, 8 USPQ 2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Claim 7 is drawn to a method for screening for an agonist or antagonist of a GPR4 related polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 3. Claims 16-18 depends from claim 7. Since there is no functional limitation or any particular conserved structure recited in the claims, the method recites an unreasonable number of inoperative polypeptides, which the skilled artisan would not know how to make and/or use.

The specification discloses a human GPR4 polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 3 and a method using the polypeptide to screen an agonist or antagonist (Example 10). However, other than the human GPR4 polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 3, the instant disclosure does not provide sufficient guidance/direction or working examples on the structural and functional requirements commensurate in scope with what is encompassed by the instant claims. There are no working examples of using polypeptides that are less than 100% identical to the polypeptide SEQ ID NO: 3. The instant disclosure does not show (i) which portions of the human GPR4 polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 3 are critical to its activity; and (ii) what modifications (e.g., substitutions, deletions or additions) one can make to SEQ ID NO: 3 will result in a mutant or a fragment with the same functions as the polypeptide set forth in SEQ ID NO: 3.

It is unpredictable whether a variant or homologue of SEQ ID NO: 3 would retain the same function as that of the full length of polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 3. The state of the art (See, e.g., Ngo, et al, The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, 1994, Merz, et al. (ed.), Birkhauser, Boston, MA, pp. 433 and 492-495) is such that the relationship between sequence of a protein and its activity is not well understood and is not predictable. Excising out portions of a protein or modifications to a protein, e.g., by substitutions or deletions, would often result in deleterious effects to the overall activity and effectiveness of the protein. The prior art teaches a human GPR4 polypeptide (see, e.g., Mahadevan et al., Genomics 30:84-88, 1995; Yang et al., US Patent No. 6,919,176 B2). However, the prior art does not provide compensatory structural or correlative teachings to enable one skilled in the art to make and use the recited genus of polypeptides in the claimed methods. In this respect, it is noted that the specification discloses that SPC, which is reported to be a ligand for GPR4, does not modulate pHdependent stimulation and does not activate cAMP formation on its own (page 41, the 2nd paragraph of the specification). Thus, in view of the nature of complexity of the work and unpredictability of the art, it would take undue experimentation for one skilled in the art to make and use the claimed methods of polypeptides without sufficient guidance, working examples, and knowledge about functions of encompassed polypeptides structurally related to SEQ ID NO: 3.

Accordingly, while being enabling for a method for screening for an agonist or antagonist of a human GPR4 polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ

Art Unit: 1646

ID NO: 3, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method for screening for a

candidate compound that antagonizes or agonizes a GPR4 related polypeptide

comprising an amino acid sequence that is at least 95% identical the amino acid

sequence of SEQ ID NO: 3.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ruixiang Li whose telephone number is (571) 272-0875.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00

pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Nickol, can be reached on (571) 272-0835. The fax number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Application/Control Number: 10/560,322 Page 7

Art Unit: 1646

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at the toll-free phone number 866-217-9197.

/Ruixiang Li/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646

Ruixiang Li, Ph.D. April 13, 2010